

Some remarks on Abel-Grassmann's groups

Petar V. Protić

Abstract. Abel-Grassmann's groupoids or shortly *AG*-groupoids have been considered in quite a number of papers, although under the different names (left-almost semigroups, left invertive groupoids). Abel-Grassmann's groups (*AG*-groups) are Abel-Grassmann's groupoids with left identity in which every element has inverse. In this paper we describe *AG*-groups by equations. Also, we describe congruences on *AG*-groups.

1. Introduction

Abel-Grassmann's groupoids, abbreviated as *AG*-groupoids, are also called left almost semigroups (*LA*-semigroups in short). They are closely related with commutative semigroup because if an *AG*-groupoid contains right identity then it becomes a commutative monoid. Although the structure is non-associative and non-commutative, nevertheless, it posses many interesting properties which we usually found in associative and commutative algebraic structures. For instance $a^2b^2 = b^2a^2$, for all a, b holds in a commutative semigroup, while this equation also holds for an *AG*-groupoid with left identity e , moreover $ab = (ba)e$ for any subset $\{a, b\}$ of an *AG*-groupoid. An idempotent *AG*-groupoid with left identity is a semilattice [6].

A groupoid (S, \cdot) is called *AG-groupoid*, if it satisfies the *left invertive law*:

$$ab \cdot c = cb \cdot a. \quad (1)$$

Any *AG*-groupoid satisfies the *medial law*:

$$ab \cdot cd = ac \cdot bd. \quad (2)$$

An *AG*-groupoid satisfying the identity

$$a \cdot bc = b \cdot ac \quad (3)$$

is called an *AG***-groupoid. Notice that each *AG*-groupoid with left identity is an *AG***-groupoid [7]. In any *AG***-groupoid G holds the *paramedial law*:

$$ab \cdot cd = db \cdot ca. \quad (4)$$

In this paper by G^e we denote the *AG*-groupoid G with a left identity e .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N02

Keywords: Abel-Grassmann's groupoid, *AG*-groupoid, *AG*-group, congruence.

Supported by Grant ON 174026 of Ministry of Science through Math. Inst. SANU

2. AG-groups

The element $x \in G^e$ ($y \in G^e$) is a *left* (resp. *right*) *inverse* for $a \in G^e$ if $xa = e$ (resp. $ay = e$), and an element which is both a left and a right inverse is called an *inverse element*. In [5] it has been proved that in G^e left identity and left inverse are uniquely determined and that any left inverse is a right inverse and conversely. Hence, left and right inverses is an inverse and it is unique. An inverse of $a \in G^e$ is denoted by a' . Clearly, for any $a, b \in G^e$, $(a')' = a$, $(ab)' = a'b'$.

Definition 2.1. [5] An AG-groupoid G^e is called an *AG-group* if every $a \in G^e$ has an inverse element a' .

Obviously, any AG-group is an AG^{**} -groupoid. Hence any AG^{**} -group satisfies (1), (2), (3) and (4).

A simple example of an AG-group is an AG-groupoid $der(G, *)$ derived from an Abelian group $(G, *)$ i.e., an AG-groupoid with the operation $xy = x^{-1} * y$. In this AG-group we have $x' = x$ for all $x \in G$. But there are AG-groups which are not of this form.

Example 2.2. It is not difficult to see that the groupoid (G, \cdot) defined by the following table

\cdot	e	a	b	c
e	e	a	b	c
a	a	e	c	b
b	c	b	a	e
c	b	c	e	a

is an AG-groupoid. It is not a semigroup since, for example, $ba \cdot a \neq b \cdot aa$. The element e is its left identity, $a = a'$, $b' = c$ and $c' = b$. Hence, (G, \cdot) is an AG-group. Obviously this AG-group is not derived from a group. \square

Lemma 2.3. In an AG-group G^e the equation $xa = b$ has an unique solution for every $a, b \in G^e$.

Proof. Indeed, since for all $a, b \in G^e$ we have

$$b = eb = aa' \cdot b = ba' \cdot a,$$

the element $x = ba' \in G^e$ is a solution of the equation $xa = b$.

Let x_1 and x_2 be solutions of the equation $xa = b$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= ex_1 = a'a \cdot x_1 = x_1a \cdot a' = ba' \\ &= x_2a \cdot a' = a'a \cdot x_2 = ex_2 = x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the equation $xa = b$ has an unique solution. \square

Theorem 2.4. On any AG-group G^e we can define an Abelian group $ret(G^e)$ by putting $x \circ y = xe \cdot y$.

Proof. If G^e is an AG-group, then by (1) the operation $x \circ y = xe \cdot y$ is commutative and e is its neutral element. Moreover, for all $x, y, z \in G^e$ we have

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = (xe \cdot y)e \cdot z \stackrel{(1)}{=} ze \cdot (xe \cdot y) \stackrel{(1)}{=} ze \cdot (ye \cdot x) \stackrel{(4)}{=} xe \cdot (ye \cdot z) = x \circ (y \circ z).$$

So, (G^e, \circ) is a commutative monoid.

Consider the equation $b = x \circ a = xe \cdot a$. By Lemma 2.3 the equation $za = b$ has a unique solution $z_0 \in G^e$. The equation $xe = z_0$ also has a unique solution. Thus for every $a, b \in G^e$ there exists x such that $x \circ a = b$. Hence $ret(G^e) = (G^e, \circ)$ is an Abelian group. In this group $a^{-1} = a'e$, where a' is an inverse element in an AG-group G^e . \square

Remark 2.5. For an AG-groupoid G^e derived from an Abelian group $(G, *)$ we have $ret(G^e) = der(G, *)$. So, $ret(der(G, *)) = (G, *)$ and $der(ret(G^e)) = G^e$. Example 2.2 show that the last equality is not true for AG-groups which are not derived from an Abelian group.

From results obtained in [6] it follows that $der(ret(G^e)) = G^e$ holds only for AG-groups satisfying the identity $x^2 = e$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let H, K be two AG-subgroups of an AG-groupoid G . If e_H, e_K are left identities of H and K respectively, then*

$$H \cap K \neq \emptyset \iff e_H = e_K.$$

Proof. Let $H \cap K \neq \emptyset$ and let $a \in H \cap K$, then $aa'_H = a'_H a = e_H$, $aa'_K = a'_K a = e_K$ for some $a'_H \in H, a'_K \in K$. Thus

$$e_H e_K = a'_H a \cdot e_K = e_K a \cdot a'_H = aa'_H = e_H.$$

By symmetry, $e_K e_H = e_K$. Now, by (1) and (2), we obtain

$$e_H e_K = aa'_H \cdot e_K = e_K a'_H \cdot a = e_K a'_H \cdot e_K a = e_K e_H \cdot a'_H a = e_K e_H,$$

and so $e_H = e_K$.

The converse statement is trivial. \square

3. Congruences on AG-groups

In this section we shall characterize all congruences on an arbitrary AG-group by its normal AG-subgroups.

Lemma 3.1. *If ρ is a congruence on an AG-group G^e , then for all $a, b \in G^e$ we have*

$$apb \iff a'\rho b'.$$

Proof. Indeed, if $a\rho b$, then $(b'a)\rho(b'b)$, and so $(b'a)\rho e$. Therefore, $(b'a \cdot a')\rho(ea')$. On the other hand, $b'a \cdot a' = a'a \cdot b' = eb' = b'$. Hence, $b'\rho a'$.

The converse implication is obvious, since $(a')' = a$ for every $a \in G^e$. \square

Definition 3.2. A nonempty subset K of an AG -group G^e is called

- *self conjugate* if $x \cdot Kx' \subseteq K$ for all $x \in G^e$,
- *inverse closed* if $x' \in K$ for all $x \in K$,
- an *AG-subgroup* if it is an inverse closed subgroupoid of G^e ,
- a *normal AG-subgroup* if it is a self conjugate AG -subgroup of G^e .

Obviously, any AG -subgroup of G^e is a subgroup of the group $\text{ret}(G^e)$. The converse is not true in general. For example, it is not difficult to see that for an AG -group G^e defined in Example 2.2, $H = \{e, b\}$ is a subgroup of $\text{ret}(G^e)$, but it is not an AG -subgroup of G^e .

Lemma 3.3. Let ρ be a congruence relation defined on an AG -group G^e . Then

$$\ker \rho = \{x \in G^e : x\rho e\}$$

is a normal AG -subgroup of G^e .

Proof. Let ρ be a congruence on G^e . Obviously, $e \in \ker \rho$. Moreover, if $a, b \in \ker \rho$, then $a\rho e$, $b\rho e$ and so $ab\rho e$. Hence, $ab \in \ker \rho$. Thus $\ker \rho$ is a subgroupoid of G^e . It is inverse closed since for every $x \in \ker \rho$ we have $x\rho e$, which by Lemma 3.1 implies $x'\rho e$, whence $x' \in \ker \rho$. Hence, $\ker \rho$ is an AG -subgroup of G^e .

Now let $x \in G^e$. Then for every $y \in x \cdot \ker \rho x'$ there exists $a \in \ker \rho$ such that $y = a \cdot ax'$. Thus $(x \cdot ax')\rho(x \cdot ex')$, i.e., $(x \cdot ax')\rho e$, which means that $y = x \cdot ax' \in \ker \rho$. So, $\ker \rho$ is a normal AG -subgroup of G^e . \square

Theorem 3.4. Let K be a normal AG -subgroup of an AG -group G^e . Then the relation ρ_K defined by

$$a\rho_K b \iff a \in Kb \wedge b \in Ka$$

is the unique congruence on G^e for which $\ker \rho_K = K$.

Proof. Let K be a normal AG -subgroup of G^e . Clearly, the relation ρ_K is reflexive and symmetric. If $a\rho_K b$, $b\rho_K c$, then obviously $a \in Kb$, $b \in Kc$. From this, applying (1) and (4) we obtain

$$a \in Kb \subseteq K \cdot Kc = KK \cdot Kc = cK \cdot KK = cK \cdot K = KK \cdot c = Kc.$$

Dually, $c \in Ka$, whence $a\rho_K c$ and so ρ is a transitive relation. Therefore, ρ is an equivalence on G^e .

Now let $a\rho_K b$ and $c\rho_K d$. Then $ac \in Kb \cdot Kd = KK \cdot bd = K \cdot bd$ and dually $bd \in K \cdot ac$. Hence $(ab)\rho_K(cd)$. Thus ρ_K is a congruence on G^e .

If $a \in \ker \rho_K$, then $a\rho_K e$. Consequently $a \in Ke$ and $e \in Ka$. From the above $ea' \in Ka \cdot a'$, whence $a' \in a'a \cdot K = eK = K$. Now, since K is inverse closed, we have $a \in K$. Hence $\ker \rho_K \subseteq K$. Conversely, if $a \in K$ then $e, a' \in K$ and so

$$e = a'a \in Ka, \quad a \in K = KK = eK \cdot K = KK \cdot e = Ke,$$

whence $a\rho_K e$ and $a \in \ker \rho_K$. Hence $K \subseteq \ker \rho_K$ and so $K = \ker \rho_K$.

To prove that ρ_K is a unique congruence on G^e with the kernel K consider an arbitrary congruence λ on G^e and assume that its kernel also is K . Then for $a\lambda b$ we have $ab'\lambda bb'$ and $aa'\lambda ba'$. So, $ab', ba' \in \ker \lambda = K$. Thus $ab' \cdot b \in Kb$ and so $a = bb' \cdot a = ab' \cdot b \in Kb$. Analogously we obtain $b = ba' \cdot a \in Ka$. This proves that $a\rho_K b$. Thus $\lambda \subseteq \rho_K$.

Conversely, if $a\rho_K b$, then $a \in Kb, b \in Ka$, and consequently

$$ab' \in Kb \cdot b' = b'b \cdot K = eK = K = \ker \lambda,$$

whence $ab'\lambda e$. This implies $(ab' \cdot b)\lambda eb$, i.e., $(ab' \cdot b)\lambda b$. But $ab' \cdot b = bb' \cdot a = a$, so $a\lambda b$. Hence $\rho_K \subseteq \lambda$. Thus $\rho_K = \lambda$. This means that ρ_K is a unique congruence on G^e with kernel K . \square

Corollary 3.5. *For any congruence λ on an AG-group G^e we have $\rho_{\ker \lambda} = \lambda$.*

Proof. Indeed, by Lemma 3.3, $\ker \lambda$ is a normal AG-subgroup of G^e , and in a view of Theorem 3.4 we have $\ker \rho_{\ker \lambda} = \ker \lambda$. This implies $\rho_{\ker \lambda} = \lambda$. \square

As a consequence of results proved in [3] we obtain the following proposition which will be used later.

Proposition 3.6. *The lattice of congruences on an AG-group is modular.* \square

4. Congruences on AG^{**} -groupoids

An AG^{**} -groupoid G in which for every $x \in G$ there exists uniquely determined element $x^{-1} \in G$ such that

$$x = xx^{-1} \cdot x, \quad x^{-1} = x^{-1}x \cdot x^{-1} \tag{5}$$

and

$$xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x \tag{6}$$

is called *completely inverse*.

Obviously any AG-group is a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid. Moreover, in this case $x^{-1} = x'$.

One can prove (cf. [1]) that an AG^{**} -groupoid (satisfying (5)) satisfies (6) if and only if xx^{-1} and $x^{-1}x$ are idempotents. Thus a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid containing only one idempotent is an AG -group (cf. [3]).

Let E_G denote the set of idempotents of a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid G . Then E_G is a semilattice (cf. [1]) and the relation \leq defined on G by

$$a \leq b \iff a \in E_G b$$

is the *natural partial order* on G .

The following result can be deduced from [9].

Lemma 4.1. *In any completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid G , the relation \leq is a compatible partial order on G . Also, $a \leq b$ implies $a^{-1} \leq b^{-1}$ for all $a, b \in G$. \square*

Definition 4.2. For any nonempty subset B of a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid G , the set

$$B\omega = \{a \in G : \exists (b \in B) b \leq a\}$$

is called the *closure of B in G* .

If $B = B\omega$, then we shall say that B is *closed in G* . Clearly, $B\omega$ is closed in G .

It is clear that a subgroupoid B of a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid G is itself a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid if and only if $b \in B$ implies $b^{-1} \in B$ for every $b \in B$. A subgroupoid with this property is called a *completely inverse AG^{**} -subgroupoid* of G .

Definition 4.3. A nonempty subset B of a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid G is called:

- *full* if $E_G \subseteq B$,
- *symmetric* if $xy \in B$ implies $yx \in B$ for all $x, y \in G$,
- *normal* if it is full, closed and symmetric.

Denote the set of AG -group congruences on an arbitrary completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid G by $\mathcal{GC}(G)$, and denote by σ the least such a congruence on G . Then $\mathcal{GC}(G) = [\sigma, G \times G]$ is a complete sublattice of the lattice $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of all congruences on G . Notice that $\mathcal{GC}(G) \cong \mathcal{C}(G/\sigma)$ and so the lattice $\mathcal{GC}(G)$ is modular (by Proposition 3.6). Furthermore, let $\mathcal{N}(G)$ be the set of all normal completely inverse AG^{**} -subgroupoids of G . Obviously, $E_G\omega \subseteq N$ for every normal completely inverse AG^{**} -subgroupoid N of G . If $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(G)$, then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{N}(G)$. Consequently, $\mathcal{N}(G)$ is a complete lattice.

The following theorem describes the AG -groups congruences on a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid in the terms of its normal completely inverse AG^{**} -subgroupoids.

Theorem 4.4. *Let N be a normal completely inverse AG^{**} -subgroupoid of a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid G . Then the relation*

$$\rho_N = \{(a, b) \in G \times G : ab^{-1} \in N\}$$

is the unique AG -group congruence ρ on G for which $\ker(\rho) = N$.

Proof. Clearly, ρ_N is reflexive. Further, if $ab^{-1} \in N$, then $b^{-1}a \in N$, so $ba^{-1} \in N$, therefore, ρ_N is symmetric. Also, if $ab^{-1}, bc^{-1} \in N$, then $ab^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}b \in N$. Hence $ac^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}b \in N$, so $b^{-1}b \cdot ac^{-1} \in N$, that is, $b^{-1}b \cdot ac^{-1} = n$ for some $n \in N$ and so $n \leq ac^{-1}$. Thus $ac^{-1} \in N\omega = N$. Consequently, ρ_N is an equivalence relation on G . Moreover, let $(a, b) \in \rho_N$ and $c \in G$. Then

$$ac \cdot (bc)^{-1} = ac \cdot b^{-1}c^{-1} = ab^{-1} \cdot cc^{-1} \in NE_G \subseteq NN \subseteq N$$

and similarly $ca \cdot (cb)^{-1} \in N$, therefore, ρ_N is a congruence on G . Furthermore, since $ef^{-1} = ef \in E_G \subseteq N$ for all $e, f \in E_G$, then S/ρ_N is an AG -group. Finally, if $a \in N\omega$, then $ea \in N$ for some $e \in E_G$. Hence $ae = ae^{-1} \in N$ and so $(a, e) \in \rho_N$. Thus we have $a \in \ker(\rho)$. Conversely, if $a \in \ker(\rho)$, then $aa \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1}a \cdot a \in N$. Hence $a \in N\omega = N$. Consequently, $\ker(\rho_N) = N$. It is easy to see that an arbitrary AG -group congruence on G is uniquely determined by its kernel, so ρ_N is a unique AG -group congruence with $\ker(\rho_N) = N$. \square

Theorem 4.5. *If ρ is a group congruence on a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid G , then $\ker(\rho) \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ and $\rho = \rho_{\ker(\rho)}$.*

Proof. Indeed, $N = \ker(\rho)$ is a normal completely inverse AG^{**} -subgroupoid of G , so that $\rho = \rho_N$. \square

Corollary 4.6. *The map $\varphi : \mathcal{N}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{GC}(G)$ given by $\varphi(N) = \rho_N$, where G is a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid, is a complete lattice isomorphism of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ onto $\mathcal{GC}(G)$. In particular, the lattice $\mathcal{N}(G)$ is modular. \square*

More interesting facts concerning certain fundamental congruences on a completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoid one can find in [2] and [3]. In [3] are determined, for example, the maximum idempotent-separating congruence, the least AG -group and the least E -unitary congruence. In particular, the congruences on completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoids are described by their kernel and trace.

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to Professor Wieslaw A. Dudek and his Ph.D. student Roman S. Gigoń for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving this paper. Special thanks to R. S. Gigoń for Theorem 4.4.

References

- [1] **M. Božinović, P.V. Protić and N. Stevanović**, *Kernel normal system of inverse AG^{**} -groupoids*, *Quasigroups and Related Systems* **17** (2008), 1 – 8.
- [2] **W.A. Dudek and R.S. Gigoń**, *Congruences on completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoids*, *Quasigroups and Related Systems* **20** (2012), 203 – 209.
- [3] **W.A. Dudek and R.S. Gigoń**, *Completely inverse AG^{**} -groupoids*, *Semigroup Forum* (in print).
- [4] **P. Holgate**, *Groupoids satisfying a simple invertible law*, *Math. Stud.* **61** (1992), 101 – 106.
- [5] **M.S. Kamaran**, *Conditions for LA-semigroups to resemble associative structures*, Ph.D. Thesis, Quaid-i-Azam Universiti, Islamabad, 1993.
- [6] **Mushtaq**, *Abelian groups defined by LA-semigroups*, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **18** (1983), 427 – 428.
- [7] **Q. Mushtaq and M.S. Kamaran**, *On left almost groups*, *Proc. Pak. Acad. of Sciences*, **33** (1996), 1 – 2.
- [8] **Q. Mushtaq and M. Khan**, *Ideals in AG-band and AG^* -groupoids*, *Quasigroup and Related Systems* **14** (2006), 207 – 215.
- [9] **P.V. Protić**, *Congruences on an inverse AG^{**} -groupoid via the natural partial order*, *Quasigroups and Related Systems* **17** (2009), 283 – 290.
- [10] **P.V. Protić and M. Božinović**, *Some congruences on an AG^{**} -groupoid*, *Filomat (Niš)* **9** (1995), 879 – 886.

Received September 6, 2012

Revised December 4, 2012

P.V.Protić

University of Niš, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Aleksandra Medvedeva 14,
18000 Niš, Serbia

E-mail: pvprotic@yahoo.com