

Clifford congruences on an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup

Roman S. Gigoń

Abstract. In the paper we describe the least Clifford congruence ξ on an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup, and so we generalize the result of La Torre (1983). In addition, a characterization of all Clifford congruences on such a semigroup (in particular, on a structurally regular semigroup) is given. Furthermore, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for ξ to be idempotent pure or E -unitary. Moreover, using some earlier result, we give a description of all USG-congruences on an idempotent-surjective semigroup, and so we generalize the result of Howie and Lallement for regular semigroups (1966). Finally, in Section 4 we study the subdirect products of an E -unitary semigroup and a Clifford semigroup.

1. Preliminaries

Whenever possible the notation and conventions of Howie [11, 12] are used. Let S be a semigroup and let $A \subseteq S$. Denote by E_A the set of all *idempotents* of A , that is, $E_A = \{a \in A : a^2 = a\}$, and by $Reg(S)$ the set of all *regular elements* of S , i.e., $Reg(S) = \{a \in S : a \in aSa\}$. We say that S is *regular* if $Reg(S) = S$. More generally, in [10] Hall observed that the set $Reg(S)$ of a semigroup S with $E_S \neq \emptyset$ forms a regular subsemigroup of S if and only if the product of any two idempotents of S is regular. In a such case, S is said to be an *R -semigroup*. Finally, if E_S is a subsemigroup of S , then S is called an *E -semigroup*. Clearly, any E -semigroup is an R -semigroup.

Let S be a semigroup, $a \in S$. The set $W(a) = \{x \in S : x = axa\}$ is called the set of *weak inverses* of a , so the elements of $W(a)$ will be called *weak inverse elements* of a . A semigroup S is said to be *E -inversive* if for every $a \in S$ there is $x \in S$ such that $ax \in E_S$ [21]. Clearly, S is E -inversive iff $W(a) \neq \emptyset$ ($a \in S$), so if S is E -inversive, then for all $a \in S$ there is $x \in S$ such that $ax, xa \in E_S$. For some interesting results concerning E -inversive semigroups, see [18, 4].

A generalization of the concept of regularity will also prove convenient. Define a semigroup S to be *idempotent-surjective* if whenever ρ is a congruence on S and $a\rho$ is an idempotent of S/ρ , then $a\rho$ contains some idempotent of S [2]. The famous Lallement's Lemma says that all regular semigroups are idempotent-surjective. Finally, it is known that idempotent-surjective semigroups are E -inversive.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M99, 06B10

Keywords: Clifford congruence, R -semigroup, idempotent-surjective semigroup, E -semigroup, structurally regular semigroup, E -unitary congruence, USG-congruence.

On the other hand, Kopamu defined in [14] a countable family of congruences on a semigroup S , as follows: for each ordered pair of non-negative integers (m, n) , he put:

$$\theta_{m,n} = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : (\forall x \in S^m, y \in S^n) xay = xby\},$$

and he made the convention that $S^1 = S$ and S^0 denotes the set containing only the empty word. In particular, $\theta_{0,0} = 1_S$. Recall from [14] that if $S/\theta_{m,n}$ is regular for some non-negative integers m, n , then S is *structurally regular*. Kopamu also proved that structurally regular semigroups are idempotent-surjective. Finally, in [8] the author showed that structurally regular semigroups are R -semigroups, and so *every* structurally regular semigroup is an *idempotent-surjective R -semigroup*.

Green's relations on S are denoted by \mathcal{L} ($(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$ if $Sa \cup \{a\} = Sb \cup \{b\}$), \mathcal{R} ($(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$ if $aS \cup \{a\} = bS \cup \{b\}$) and \mathcal{H} ($= \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$). Denote by \mathcal{H}_a the \mathcal{H} -class containing the element a . Notice that Green's Theorem says that in an arbitrary semigroup S either $\mathcal{H}_a \mathcal{H}_a \cap \mathcal{H}_a = \emptyset$ or \mathcal{H}_a is a group.

Recall that a semigroup S is a *semilattice* if $a^2 = a$, $ab = ba$ for all $a, b \in S$. Let \mathcal{C} be some class of semigroups of the same type \mathcal{T} (for example: the class of all groups); call its elements \mathcal{C} -*semigroups*. A congruence ρ on a semigroup S is said to be a \mathcal{C} -*congruence* if $S/\rho \in \mathcal{C}$. Clearly, the least semilattice congruence η (say) on an arbitrary semigroup S exists. Finally, a semigroup S is a *semilattice S/ρ of groups* if there exists a semilattice congruence ρ on S such that every ρ -class is a group. Since $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \eta$, then a semigroup S is a semilattice S/ρ of groups if and only if $\mathcal{H} = \eta$. Indeed, $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \eta \subseteq \rho$ and evidently $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Consequently we have $\mathcal{H} = \eta$. The converse implication follows from Green's Theorem.

Moreover, some preliminaries about group congruences on a semigroup S are needed. A subset A of S is called (respectively) *full*; *reflexive* and *dense* if $E_S \subseteq A$; $(\forall a, b \in S)(ab \in A \Rightarrow ba \in A)$ and $(\forall s \in S)(\exists x, y \in S) sx, ys \in A$. Also, we define the *closure operator* ω on S by $A\omega = \{s \in S : (\exists a \in A) as \in A\}$ (where $A \subseteq S$). We shall say that $A \subseteq S$ is *closed* (in S) if $A\omega = A$. Further, a subsemigroup N of a semigroup S is said to be *normal* if it is full, dense, reflexive and closed (if N is normal, then we shall write $N \triangleleft S$). Finally, if a subsemigroup of S is dense and reflexive, then it is called *quasi-normal*.

By the *kernel* of a congruence ρ on a semigroup S we shall mean the set $\ker(\rho) = \{x \in S : (x, x^2) \in \rho\}$.

Result 1.1. [5] *Let B be a quasi-normal subsemigroup of a semigroup S . Then the relation $\rho_B = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : (\exists x, y \in B) ax = yb\}$ is a group congruence on S . Also, $B \subseteq B\omega = \ker(\rho_B)$, and if $B \triangleleft S$, then $B = \ker(\rho_B)$.*

Conversely, if ρ is a group congruence on S , then there is a normal subsemigroup N of S such that $\rho = \rho_N$ (in fact, $N = \ker(\rho)$). Thus there is an inclusion-preserving bijection between the set of all normal subsemigroups of S and the set of all group congruences on S .

Moreover, the least group congruence on an E -inversive E -semigroup is given by

$$\sigma = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : (\exists e, f \in E_S) ea = bf\}. \quad \square$$

Remark 1.2. [5] Let B be a quasi-normal subsemigroup of S . Then:

$$(a, b) \in \rho_B \Leftrightarrow (\exists x \in S) xa, xb \in B.$$

It is easily seen that if S is an E -inversive semigroup (and so E_S is dense), then there exists the least normal subsemigroup of S . In the light of Result 1.1, every E -inversive semigroup possesses the least group congruence σ .

An inverse semigroup in which the idempotents are central is called a *Clifford* semigroup. Recall that a semigroup S is a Clifford semigroup if and only if it is a semilattice of groups [11]. Observe that if $ab = e \in E_S$, then

$$ba = baa^{-1}a = a^{-1}aba = a^{-1}ea \in E_S.$$

Thus $ab = ba$ (since ab and ba belong to the same subgroup of S), so E_S is reflexive. Further, a semigroup S is called η -simple if S has no semilattice congruences except the universal relation. It is well known that every η -class of S is η -simple [20].

Recall from [9] that a full quasi-normal subsemigroup of a semigroup is called *seminormal*.

Finally, we have need the following two results.

Theorem 1.3. *Let ρ be an arbitrary semilattice congruence on an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup S , N be a (semi)normal subsemigroup of S and let $a \in S$. Put $N_a = N \cap a\rho$. Then:*

- (a) $a\rho$ is an E -inversive R -semigroup;
- (b) N_a is a (semi)normal subsemigroup of $a\rho$.

Proof. (a). Let $a \in S$ and $e \in E_{a\eta}$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $a\eta$ is not E -inversive. Then the set A of all non E -inversive elements of $a\eta$ is an ideal of $a\eta$. Clearly, $e \notin A$. Consider an equivalence ρ (say) on $a\eta$ induced by the partition: $\{A, a\eta \setminus A\}$ and suppose that there are elements $s, t \in a\eta \setminus A$ such that $st \in A$. Then $fg \in A$ for some idempotents $f, g \in a\eta \setminus A$. Since S is an R -semigroup, then $x = xfgx, fg = fgxf$ for some $x \in S$. It follows that $x \in a\eta$, so $x \in W(fg)$ in $a\eta$, which contradicted to $fg \in A$. Hence ρ is a semilattice congruence on an η -simple semigroup $a\eta$, a contradiction. Consequently, $A = \emptyset$ (since $e \notin A$), and so $a\eta$ is an E -inversive R -semigroup.

(b). The second part of the theorem is a direct consequence of the definition of a (semi)normal subsemigroup and the first part of the theorem. \square

Lemma 1.4. *Let B be the least seminormal subsemigroup of an idempotent-surjective semigroup S . If ϕ is an epimorphism of S onto a Clifford semigroup T , then $B\phi = E_T$.*

Proof. Put $A = (E_T)\phi^{-1}$. Clearly, A is a full subsemigroup of S . Thus A is dense. Moreover, if $xy \in A$, then $E_T \ni (xy)\phi = x\phi \cdot y\phi = y\phi \cdot x\phi = (yx)\phi$ (since E_T is reflexive), so $yx \in A$. Hence $B \subseteq A$. Thus $B\phi \subseteq ((E_T)\phi^{-1})\phi \subseteq E_T$. Since S is idempotent-surjective and B is full, then $E_T = (E_S)\phi \subseteq B\phi$. Consequently, $B\phi = E_T$. \square

2. Clifford congruences

Let ε be a semilattice congruence on an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup S . Denote ε -classes of S by S_α , where α 's are elements of some set A , and define on A a binary operation \circ , as follows: if $a \in S_\alpha, b \in S_\beta$, then

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \gamma \Leftrightarrow ab \in S_\gamma.$$

Clearly, (A, \circ) is a semilattice (isomorphic to S/ε), so

$$S = \bigcup \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$$

is a semilattice A of E -inversive R -semigroups S_α (Theorem 1.3(a)). For any seminormal subsemigroup I of S , put $I_\alpha = I \cap S_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in A$); see Theorem 1.3(b). Then by Result 1.1 and Remark 1.2, for every α , the relation

$$\rho_{I_\alpha} = \{(a, b) \in S_\alpha \times S_\alpha : (\exists x \in S_\alpha) xa, xb \in I_\alpha\}$$

is a group congruence on S_α . Put $\rho = \bigcup \{\rho_{I_\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$. We will show that ρ is a congruence on S . Let $(a, b) \in \rho$, say $(a, b) \in \rho_{I_\alpha}$; $c \in S_\beta$. Then $xa, xb \in I_\alpha$ for some $x \in S_\alpha$. Since I_β is dense, then $cz \in I_\beta$ for some $z \in S_\beta$. Notice that $ac, bc, zx \in S_{\alpha\beta}$. Furthermore, $(xa)(cz) \in I_\alpha I_\beta \subseteq I$. Hence $(zx)(ac) \in I$ (since I is reflexive), therefore, $(zx)(ac) \in I \cap S_{\alpha\beta} = I_{\alpha\beta}$. Similarly, $(zx)(bc) \in I_{\alpha\beta}$. This implies that $(ac, bc) \in \rho$, and so ρ is a right congruence on S . By symmetry of the definition of ρ_{I_α} , we conclude that ρ is also a left congruence on S . Thus ρ is a congruence on S and for all $a \in S$, $a\rho = a\rho_{I_\alpha}$ if $a \in S_\alpha$. Put $G_\alpha = S_\alpha/\rho_{I_\alpha}$. Then $S/\rho = \bigcup \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is a semilattice A of groups G_α .

Applying the above construction (of ρ) to the least semilattice congruence η on S and to the least seminormal subsemigroup B of S , we obtain some semilattice of groups congruence on S , say ξ .

Let S be an idempotent-surjective E -semigroup. Then each η -class of S is an E -semigroup. Define on every S_α the least group congruence σ_α (see Result 1.1). Then the relation ξ^* , induced by this partition of S , is a congruence on S . Indeed, if $a\xi^*b$, say $(a, b) \in \sigma_\alpha$ in S_α ; $c \in S_\beta$, then $ea = bf$, where $e, f \in E_{S_\alpha}$, and so $(bcc^*b^*e)ac = bc(c^*b^*bf \cdot c)$ for every $b^* \in W_{S_\alpha}(b)$, $c^* \in W_{S_\beta}(c)$. The expressions in the parentheses belong to E_S . Further, $bcc^*b^*e, c^*b^*bfc \in S_{\alpha\beta}$, $ac, bc \in S_{\alpha\beta}$. Hence ξ^* is a right congruence on S . By symmetry, ξ^* is a left congruence on S . Thus S/ξ^* is a semilattice of groups.

Finally, we will show that ξ is the least Clifford congruence on an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup S . Let ρ be any congruence on S such that S/ρ is a semilattice A of groups, say $S/\rho = \bigcup \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$; ρ^\natural be the natural homomorphism of S onto S/ρ and φ be the canonical morphism of S/ρ onto A , defined by $(a\rho)\varphi = \alpha$ if $a\rho \in G_\alpha$. The composition map $\Phi = \rho^\natural\varphi$ is a morphism of S onto A , so $\Phi\Phi^{-1}$, where $a(\Phi\Phi^{-1})b$ if and only if $a\rho, b\rho \in G_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in A$, is a semilattice congruence on S . Thus $\eta \subseteq \Phi\Phi^{-1}$. Suppose that $a\xi b$. Then $a\eta b$ and $xa = by$ for some

$x, y \in a\eta \cap B$, where B is the least seminormal subsemigroup of S . Since x, y, a, b lie in the same η -class, then they belong to the same $\Phi\Phi^{-1}$ -class, so $x\rho, y\rho, a\rho, b\rho$ lie in G_α ($\alpha \in A$). Since $x, y \in B$, then $x\rho, y\rho \in E_{S/\rho}$ (Lemma 1.4), so $x\rho = y\rho = 1_{G_\alpha}$ (the identity of the group G_α). It follows that

$$a\rho = (x\rho)(a\rho) = (xa)\rho = (by)\rho = (b\rho)(y\rho) = b\rho.$$

Consequently, $\xi \subseteq \rho$, as required.

Observe that if S is an E -semigroup, then $x, y \in E_S$ (by the definition of ξ^*), so obviously $x\rho = y\rho = 1_{G_\alpha} \in E_{S/\rho}$. Thus $\xi^* \subseteq \rho$.

Note that $\xi, \xi^* \subseteq \eta \cap \sigma$ and denote by $B_{a\eta}$ the intersection of $a\eta$ and B ($a \in S$). We have just shown the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *The least Clifford congruence on an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup S is given by*

$$\xi = \{(a, b) \in \eta : (\exists x, y \in B_{a\eta}) \quad xa = by\}. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.2. In the light of Remark 1.2,

$$\xi = \{(a, b) \in \eta : (\exists x \in a\eta) \quad xa, xb \in B_{a\eta}\}.$$

Corollary 2.3. *The least Clifford congruence on an idempotent-surjective E -semigroup S is given by*

$$\xi^* = \{(a, b) \in \eta : (\exists e, f \in E_{a\eta}) \quad ea = bf\}. \quad \square$$

Note also that we have proved the first part of the following theorem which is new for regular semigroups (and it is probably new even for inverse semigroups).

Theorem 2.4. *Let ε be an arbitrary semilattice congruence on an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup S and let A be a seminormal subsemigroup of S . Then the relation*

$$\rho_{A,\varepsilon} = \{(a, b) \in \varepsilon : (\exists x, y \in a\varepsilon \cap A) \quad xa = by\}$$

is a Clifford congruence on S .

Conversely, if ρ is a Clifford congruence on S , then there exists a semilattice congruence ε on S and a seminormal subsemigroup A of S such that $\rho = \rho_{A,\varepsilon}$.

Proof. Let ρ be a semilattice of groups congruence on S . Since S/ρ is a semilattice of groups, then the least semilattice congruence on S/ρ is $\mathcal{H}^{S/\rho}$. Define a relation ε on S , as follows: $(a, b) \in \varepsilon$ if and only if $(a\rho, b\rho) \in \mathcal{H}^{S/\rho}$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{S/\rho} = \varepsilon/\rho$. It follows that ε is a semilattice congruence on S , since $(S/\rho)/\mathcal{H}^{S/\rho} \cong S/\varepsilon$. Next, put

$$A = \bigcup \{e\rho : e \in E_S\}.$$

Since S is idempotent-surjective and $E_{S/\rho}$ is a subsemigroup of S/ρ , then A is a semigroup. Obviously, A is full. Finally, A is reflexive, since $E_{S/\rho}$ is reflexive.

Consequently, A is a seminormal subsemigroup of S . Further, note that $\rho \subseteq \varepsilon$, and consider an arbitrary ρ -class $e\rho$, where $e \in E_S$. Let $x \in (e\rho)\omega$ in $e\varepsilon$ (in particular, $(x\rho, e\rho) \in \mathcal{H}^{S/\rho}$). Then $ax \in e\rho$ for some $a \in e\rho$. Hence

$$e\rho = (a\rho)(x\rho) = (e\rho)(x\rho) = x\rho,$$

because $(x\rho, e\rho) \in \mathcal{H}^{S/\rho}$. Thus $e\rho$ is closed in $e\varepsilon$. Since $A \cap e\varepsilon = e\rho$ for every $e \in E_S$, then $\rho = \rho_{A, \varepsilon}$, as required. \square

A congruence ρ on a semigroup S is called *idempotent pure* if $e\rho \subseteq E_S$ for all $e \in E_S$. Note that if S is idempotent-surjective, then ρ is idempotent pure if and only if $\ker(\rho) = E_S$.

Let \mathcal{E} be the relation on a semigroup S induced by the partition $\{E_S, S \setminus E_S\}$. Then \mathcal{E}^b is the greatest idempotent pure congruence on S . Put $\tau = \mathcal{E}^b$. Then [12]

$$\tau = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : (\forall x, y \in S^{(1)}) xay \in E_S \Leftrightarrow xby \in E_S\},$$

where $S^{(1)}$ denotes the semigroup obtained from S by adjoining the identity 1.

Recall from [5] that an E -inversive semigroup S is *E -unitary* if and only if E_S is closed in S .

The following result will be useful.

Result 2.5. [5, 7] *Let S be an idempotent-surjective semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) S is E -unitary;
- (b) $\ker(\sigma) = E_S$;
- (c) every idempotent pure congruence on S is E -unitary;
- (d) there exists an idempotent pure E -unitary congruence on S ;
- (e) $\sigma = \tau$. \square

The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for ξ to be idempotent pure. Note that the condition (c) is new even for regular semigroups.

Theorem 2.6. *Let S be an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) ξ is idempotent pure;
- (b) each η -class of S is an E -unitary E -inversive subsemigroup of S ;
- (c) $\xi = \eta \cap \tau$.

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). It follows from the construction of ξ and Result 2.5 (see (b)).

(a) \Rightarrow (c). Let ξ be idempotent pure, that is, $\xi \subseteq \tau$. Then evidently $\xi \subseteq \eta \cap \tau$. Conversely, let $a(\eta \cap \tau)b$. Take any weak inverse x of a in $a\eta$. Then $(xa, xb) \in \tau$, where $xa \in E_{a\eta}$. Since $xb \in a\eta$, then $xb \in E_{a\eta}$. Thus $(a, b) \in \xi$ (by Remark 2.2).

(c) \Rightarrow (a). This is trivial. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let S be an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup. Then ξ is idempotent pure if and only if S is a semilattice of E -unitary E -inversive semigroups. \square*

Moreover, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Let S be an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) S is E -unitary;
- (b) ξ is an idempotent pure E -unitary congruence on S ;
- (c) for every $a \in S$, $a\eta$ is E -unitary and $\sigma_{a\eta} = \sigma_S \cap (a\eta \times a\eta)$.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). If S is E -unitary, then each η -class of S is also E -unitary and so, by Theorem 2.6, ξ is idempotent pure. Hence by Result 2.5, ξ is E -unitary.

(b) \implies (a). This follows from Result 2.5.

(a) \implies (c). Let $a \in S$. It is clear that $a\eta$ is E -unitary. Also, if $(a, b) \in \sigma$, then $ab^* \in E_S$ for all $b^* \in W(b)$, so if $(a, b) \in \sigma \cap (a\eta \times a\eta)$, then $ab^* \in E_{a\eta}$ for all $b^* \in W(b)$ in $a\eta$. Thus $ab^*b \in E_{a\eta}b$. It follows that $(a, b) \in \sigma_{a\eta}$. Therefore $\sigma \cap (a\eta \times a\eta) \subseteq \sigma_{a\eta}$. The converse inclusion is obvious.

(c) \implies (a). Let $e \in E_S$, $x \in a\eta$, where $a \in S$. Choose $f \in E_{a\eta}$ and suppose that $(x, e) \in \sigma_S$. Clearly, $(e, f) \in \sigma_S$. Hence $(x, f) \in \sigma_S \cap (a\eta \times a\eta) = \sigma_{a\eta}$. Thus $x \in E_S$, so S is E -unitary (by Result 2.5). \square

The next result gives some equivalent conditions for ξ to be E -unitary, when ξ is idempotent pure.

Corollary 2.9. *Let an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup S be a semilattice of an E -unitary E -inversive semigroups. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) S is E -unitary;
- (b) $\xi = \eta \cap \sigma$;
- (c) ξ is E -unitary;
- (d) for every $a \in S$, $\sigma_{a\eta} = \sigma_S \cap (a\eta \times a\eta)$.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). The main assumption of the corollary implies that ξ is idempotent pure (Corollary 2.7). Hence $\xi = \eta \cap \tau$ (Theorem 2.6). Since S is E -unitary, then $\tau = \sigma$ (Result 2.5). Thus $\xi = \eta \cap \sigma$.

(b) \implies (c). The congruences η and σ are both E -unitary. Therefore $\xi = \eta \cap \sigma$ is also E -unitary.

(c) \implies (a). The assumptions imply that the congruence ξ is idempotent pure and E -unitary. Thus S is E -unitary (Result 2.5).

(a) \iff (d). It is a consequence of Theorem 2.8. \square

Finally, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.10. *In any E -unitary idempotent-surjective semigroup S ,*

$$\xi \cap \mathcal{H} = 1_S.$$

If in addition E_S forms a semilattice, then

$$\xi \cap \mathcal{L} = \xi \cap \mathcal{R} = 1_S.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.5 [5], since $\xi \subseteq \sigma$. \square

3. USG-congruences

A semigroup S is said to be a *USG-semigroup* if it is an E -unitary Clifford semigroup. Recall from [13] that if S is a USG-semigroup, then $\sigma \cap \eta = 1_S$.

Remark that if a semigroup is a subdirect product of a group and a semilattice, then it is an E -semigroup.

Theorem 3.1. *In any idempotent-surjective semigroup S , $\sigma \cap \eta = 1_S$ if and only if S is a USG-semigroup.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \cap \eta = 1_S$. Then S is a subdirect product of the group S/σ and the semilattice S/η , so S is an idempotent-surjective E -semigroup. In particular, the least Clifford congruence ξ exists on S . Also, $\xi \subseteq \sigma \cap \eta$ and so $\xi = 1_S$. Hence S is a semilattice of groups. Thus $\mathcal{H} = \eta$. Let $(x, e) \in \sigma$ (where $x \in S, e \in E_S$). Then (since $x \in \mathcal{H}_f$ for some $f \in E_S \subseteq e\sigma$) $(x, f) \in \sigma \cap \mathcal{H} = \sigma \cap \eta = 1_S$, so $x = f \in E_S$. Consequently, S is E -unitary. \square

If ρ, ν are two congruences on S such that $\rho \subseteq \nu$, then the map $\varphi : S/\rho \rightarrow S/\nu$, $(a\rho)\varphi = a\nu$ ($a \in S$), is a well-defined epimorphism between these semigroups. Denote its kernel $\varphi\varphi^{-1}$ by

$$\nu/\rho = \{(a\rho, b\rho) \in S/\rho \times S/\rho : a\nu b\}.$$

Then $(S/\rho)/(\nu/\rho) \cong S/\nu$. Also, each congruence α on S/ρ is of the form ν/ρ , where $\nu \supseteq \rho$ is a congruence on S . Indeed, the relation ν , defined on S by: $a\nu b$ if and only if $(a\rho, b\rho) \in \alpha$, is a congruence on S such that $\rho \subseteq \nu$ and $\alpha = \nu/\rho$. Finally, let $\rho \subseteq \nu_1, \nu_2$ (where ν_1, ν_2 are congruences on S). Then $(\nu_1/\rho) \cap (\nu_2/\rho) = (\nu_1 \cap \nu_2)/\rho$, and $(\nu_1 \cap \nu_2)/\rho = 1_{S/\rho}$ implies that $\rho = \nu_1 \cap \nu_2$.

Note that if a class \mathcal{C} of semigroups is closed under homomorphic images and the least \mathcal{C} -congruence $\rho_S^{\mathcal{C}}$ on a semigroup S exists, then the interval $[\rho_S^{\mathcal{C}}, S \times S]$ consists of all \mathcal{C} -congruences on S and is a complete sublattice of $\mathcal{C}(S)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ and \mathcal{C}_3 be some classes of semigroups; $\rho_A^{\mathcal{C}_1}, \rho_A^{\mathcal{C}_2}$ be the least \mathcal{C}_1 -congruence, \mathcal{C}_2 -congruence on any semigroup A , respectively, such that $A \in \mathcal{C}_3$ if and only if $\rho_A^{\mathcal{C}_1} \cap \rho_A^{\mathcal{C}_2} = 1_A$. Then the intersection of a \mathcal{C}_1 -congruence and a \mathcal{C}_2 -congruence on a semigroup S is a \mathcal{C}_3 -congruence. Conversely, every \mathcal{C}_3 -congruence on S can be expressed in this way.*

Proof. Let ρ_i be a \mathcal{C}_i -congruence on S (for $i = 1, 2$). Put $\rho = \rho_1 \cap \rho_2$ and observe that ρ_1/ρ is a \mathcal{C}_1 -congruence, ρ_2/ρ is a \mathcal{C}_2 -congruence on S/ρ . Since $(\rho_1/\rho) \cap (\rho_2/\rho)$ is the identity relation on S/ρ , then $\rho_{S/\rho}^{\mathcal{C}_1} \cap \rho_{S/\rho}^{\mathcal{C}_2} = 1_{S/\rho}$. Thus $S/\rho \in \mathcal{C}_3$, and so $\rho = \rho_1 \cap \rho_2$ is a \mathcal{C}_3 -congruence on S .

Conversely, let ρ be any \mathcal{C}_3 -congruence on S , $\rho_1/\rho = \rho_{S/\rho}^{\mathcal{C}_1}$, $\rho_2/\rho = \rho_{S/\rho}^{\mathcal{C}_2}$, where $\rho \subseteq \rho_1, \rho_2$. Then ρ_i is a \mathcal{C}_i -congruence on S (for $i = 1, 2$). Furthermore,

$$(\rho_1 \cap \rho_2)/\rho = \rho_{S/\rho}^{\mathcal{C}_1} \cap \rho_{S/\rho}^{\mathcal{C}_2} = 1_{S/\rho}.$$

Thus $\rho = \rho_1 \cap \rho_2$, as required. \square

Remark 3.3. One can modify Theorem 3.2 for any type of a universal algebra.

The following theorem describes all USG-congruences on idempotent-surjective semigroups.

Theorem 3.4. *The intersection of a group congruence ν and a semilattice congruence γ on an idempotent-surjective semigroup S is a USG-congruence.*

Conversely, any USG-congruence ρ on S can be expressed in this way, and ν, γ are uniquely determined by ρ .

Proof. Note that the class of all idempotent-surjective semigroups is closed under homomorphic images. All assertions of the theorem except a uniqueness follows from Theorems 3.1, 3.2 (see the proof of Theorem 3.2).

Let $\rho = \nu_1 \cap \gamma_1 = \nu_2 \cap \gamma_2$, where ν_i is a group congruence and γ_i is a semilattice congruence on S ($i = 1, 2$), and let $(a, b) \in \gamma_1$. Since $\gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2$ is a band congruence, then there are $e, f \in E_S$ such that $(a, e) \in \gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2$, $(e, f) \in \nu_1$ and $(f, b) \in \gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2$. In fact, $(e, f) \in \gamma_1 \cap \nu_1 = \gamma_2 \cap \nu_2 \subseteq \gamma_2$. Hence $(a, b) \in \gamma_2$. Thus $\gamma_1 \subseteq \gamma_2$. Similarly, we obtain the opposite inclusion, so $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$. Put $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma$. Let $(a, b) \in \nu_1$. Then $(aab, abb) \in \nu_1 \cap \gamma \subseteq \nu_2$. Hence $(a, b) \in \nu_2$ (by cancellation), therefore, $\nu_1 \subseteq \nu_2$. By symmetry, $\nu_2 \subseteq \nu_1$. Consequently, $\nu_1 = \nu_2$, as required. \square

Corollary 3.5. *The relation $\sigma \cap \eta$ is the least USG-congruence on an arbitrary idempotent-surjective semigroup S .* \square

Corollary 3.6. *An idempotent-surjective semigroup is a subdirect product of a group and a semilattice if and only if it is a USG-semigroup.*

Proof. Let $S \subseteq G \times Y$ be a subdirect product of a group G and a semilattice Y . Then the two projection maps induce on S a group congruence and a semilattice congruence. The intersection of these congruences is the equality relation on S . Thus $\sigma \cap \eta = 1_S$, so S is a USG-semigroup (Theorem 3.1).

The converse implication is clear. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let S be an E -unitary idempotent-surjective semigroup. Then S/ξ is a USG-semigroup.*

Proof. Let S be E -unitary. Then every η -class of S is E -unitary, too. In the light of Theorem 2.6, ξ is idempotent pure. Hence ξ is E -unitary (Corollary 2.9). Thus S/ξ is a USG-semigroup. \square

One can show without difficulty that the least E -unitary congruence π on an arbitrary E -inversive semigroup exists.

Lemma 3.8. *Let S be an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup. Then the relation*

$$(\xi \vee \pi)/\pi$$

is the least Clifford congruence on S/π .

Proof. Indeed, $S/(\xi \vee \pi)$ is a Clifford semigroup, so $(\xi \vee \pi)/\pi$ is a semilattice of groups congruence on S/π , since $S/(\xi \vee \pi) \cong (S/\pi)/((\xi \vee \pi)/\pi)$. On the other hand, if α is a semilattice of groups congruence on S/π , then $\alpha = \rho/\pi$, where $\pi \subseteq \rho$. Since $(S/\pi)/(\rho/\pi) \cong S/\rho$, then ρ is a Clifford congruence on S , so $\pi, \xi \subseteq \rho$. Hence $\xi \vee \pi \subseteq \rho$. Thus $(\xi \vee \pi)/\pi \subseteq \rho/\pi = \alpha$, as required. \square

Theorem 3.9. *In any idempotent-surjective R -semigroup S ,*

$$\sigma \cap \eta = \xi \vee \pi.$$

Proof. We have just seen that $S/(\xi \vee \pi) \cong (S/\pi)/((\xi \vee \pi)/\pi)$. By Lemmas 3.7, 3.8, $(S/\pi)/((\xi \vee \pi)/\pi)$ is an E -unitary semilattice of groups and so $S/(\xi \vee \pi)$ is also an E -unitary semilattice of groups. Thus $\xi \vee \pi$ is a USG-congruence on S . Moreover, $\xi \subseteq \sigma \cap \eta$ and $\pi \subseteq \sigma \cap \eta$. Hence $\xi \vee \pi \subseteq \sigma \cap \eta$. Thus $\xi \vee \pi = \sigma \cap \eta$ (because $\sigma \cap \eta$ is the least USG-congruence on S). \square

Corollary 3.10. *In any E -unitary idempotent-surjective semigroup,*

$$\xi = \sigma \cap \eta. \quad \square$$

4. The condition $\pi \cap \xi = 1_S$

In this section we characterize those idempotent-surjective R -semigroups S which are a subdirect product of an E -unitary semigroup and a Clifford semigroup, i.e., those semigroups S for which $\pi \cap \xi$ is the identity relation. Since E -unitary semigroups and Clifford semigroups are both E -semigroups, then S are E -semigroups, too.

In [2] Edwards defined the relation μ on a semigroup S by

$$(a, b) \in \mu \iff \begin{cases} (x \mathcal{L} ax \text{ or } x \mathcal{L} bx) \implies ax \mathcal{H} bx, \\ (x \mathcal{R} xa \text{ or } x \mathcal{R} xb) \implies xa \mathcal{H} xb, \end{cases}$$

where x is an arbitrary element of $Reg(S)$. Furthermore, he proved in [3] that μ is the maximum *idempotent-separating* congruence on an arbitrary idempotent-surjective semigroup S (that is, $\mu \cap (E_S \times E_S) = 1_S$).

Recall that a semigroup S is:

- *fundamental* if $\mu = 1_S$ [1];
- *η -simple* if $\eta = S \times S$ [20].

Note that if an E -inversive semigroup S is η -simple, then the least Clifford congruence ξ coincides with σ . Indeed, let ρ be a Clifford congruence on S . Since S/ρ is a Clifford semigroup, then the least semilattice congruence on S/ρ is \mathcal{H} . Define a relation ε on S , as follows: $(a, b) \in \varepsilon$ if $(a\rho)\mathcal{H}(b\rho)$. Then $\mathcal{H} = \varepsilon/\rho$, so ε is a semilattice congruence on S , since $(S/\rho)/\mathcal{H} \cong S/\varepsilon$. Thus $(a\rho)\mathcal{H}(b\rho)$ for all $a, b \in S$. Consequently, S/ρ is a group.

Recall that π denotes the least E -unitary congruence on an E -inversive semigroup. Clearly, $\pi \subseteq \sigma$ (the least group congruence).

From the last two paragraphs we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Let S be an η -simple E -inversive semigroup. Then S is E -unitary if and only if $\pi \cap \xi = 1_S$. \square*

Proposition 4.2. *Let S be an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup, $\pi \cap \xi = 1_S$. Then S is a semilattice of (η -simple) E -unitary E -inversive semigroups.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that every η -class of S is E -unitary. Let $a \in S$. Then the restriction of π to $a\eta$ is an E -unitary congruence on $a\eta$ and the restriction of ξ to $a\eta$ is a group congruence on $a\eta$. From the assumption of the proposition follows that the intersection of these two congruences is the identity relation on $a\eta$, so the intersection of the least E -unitary congruence and the least Clifford congruence on $a\eta$ is also the identity relation. In the light of Corollary 4.1, $a\eta$ is E -unitary. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let S be a fundamental idempotent-surjective R -semigroup. Then $\pi \cap \xi = 1_S$ if and only if S is E -unitary.*

Proof. Let $\pi \cap \xi = 1_S$; $e, f \in E_S$. If $(e, f) \in \pi$, then $(e, f) \in \eta$. Hence $(e, f) \in \xi$. Thus $e = f$, so $\pi \subseteq \mu = 1_S$. Consequently, S is E -unitary.

The converse implication is trivial. \square

Remark 4.4. The above theorem is valid for any \mathcal{C} -congruence ρ (instead of π) contained in η (i.e., if we replace in the theorem π by ρ , then we must replace “ E -unitary” with “ \mathcal{C} -semigroup”).

Recall from [7] that (for idempotent-surjective semigroups) every congruence of the interval $[\pi, \sigma]$ is E -unitary. Also, $\ker(\rho) = \ker(\pi)$ for every $\rho \in [\pi, \sigma]$.

We have mentioned above that the class of idempotent-surjective semigroups is closed under homomorphic images. Using Hall’s observation, one can prove without difficulty that the class of all idempotent-surjective R -semigroups possess this property. It is also known that the class of all structurally regular semigroups is closed under taking homomorphic images [14].

For regular semigroups S , $\mu \cap \tau = 1_S$. The next theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for $\pi \cap \xi$ to be the identity relation on idempotent-surjective R -semigroups S such that $\mu \cap \tau = 1_S$ (in particular, the theorem is valid, too, for structurally regular semigroups having this additional property).

Remark 4.5. Using Lemma 1.2 [17], Janet Mills proved for orthodox semigroups a similar result to the next theorem (see Theorem 3.5 [17]). However, the proof of her lemma is not correct (see [6]). Moreover, in [6] using different methods, the author showed the theorem of Mills (with a very important additional condition). Finally, notice that the implication “(f) \Rightarrow (g)” in the following theorem is proved in a different way than the corresponding implication in [6].

Theorem 4.6. *If S is an idempotent-surjective R -semigroup such that $\mu \cap \tau = 1_S$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\pi \cap \xi = 1_S$;
- (b) S is a semilattice of E -unitary E -inversive semigroups and $\pi \subseteq \mu$;
- (c) S is a semilattice of E -unitary E -inversive semigroups and $\pi \subseteq \mu \cap \sigma \subseteq \sigma$;
- (d) S is a semilattice of E -unitary E -inversive semigroups and the congruence $\mu \cap \sigma$ is E -unitary;
- (e) S is a semilattice of E -unitary E -inversive semigroups and at least one idempotent-separating congruence on S (say ρ) is E -unitary;
- (f) S is a subdirect product of an E -unitary idempotent-surjective semigroup and a Clifford semigroup;
- (g) S is a semilattice of E -unitary E -inversive semigroups and the relation $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$ is E -unitary congruence on S .

Proof. (a) \implies (b). This implication follows directly from Proposition 4.2 and from the proof of Theorem 4.3.

(b) \implies (c). This is clear, since $\pi \subseteq \sigma$.

(c) \implies (d). In that case, $\mu \cap \sigma \in [\pi, \sigma]$, so $\mu \cap \sigma$ is E -unitary.

(d) \implies (e). This is evident.

(e) \implies (a). In such case, $\pi \subseteq \rho \subseteq \mu$. Hence $\pi \cap \xi \subseteq \mu \cap \xi = \mu \cap (\eta \cap \tau)$ (see Corollary 2.7 and Theorem 2.6). Thus $\pi \cap \xi \subseteq \mu \cap \tau = 1_S$.

(a) \implies (f). This is clear.

(f) \implies (g). Suppose that S is a subdirect product of an E -unitary idempotent-surjective semigroup A and a Clifford semigroup T . Notice that $(a, t)(\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma)(b, w)$ in S if and only if $(a, b) \in \mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$ in A and $(t, w) \in \mathcal{H} \cap \sigma = \eta \cap \sigma$ in T , i.e., if and only if $a = b$ (Theorem 5.5 [5]) and $(t, w) \in \eta \cap \sigma$ in T . This implies that $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$ is a congruence on S . Finally, we will show that the congruence $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$ is E -unitary. Let

$$(e, g)(a, t)(\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma)(f, h),$$

where $(e, g), (f, h) \in E_S$, then $ea = f$ and $(gt, h) \in \mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$ in T . It follows that

$$a \in E_A \quad \& \quad t \in \ker(\sigma_T).$$

Hence

$$(t, i) \in \mathcal{H}^T \cap \sigma_T$$

for some $i \in E_T$, since T is a semilattice of groups. Consequently,

$$(a, t)(\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma)(a, i),$$

where $(a, i) \in E_S$, so $\mathcal{H} \cap \sigma$ is E -unitary.

(g) \implies (e). This is evident. □

References

- [1] **D. Easdown**, *Biorder-preserving coextensions of fundamental semigroups*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **31** (1988), 463–467.
- [2] **P.M. Edwards**, *Eventually regular semigroups*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **28** (1983), 23–38.
- [3] **P.M. Edwards**, *Maximizing a congruence with respect to its partition of idempotents*, Semigroup Forum **39** (1985), 313–317.
- [4] **R.S. Gigoń**, *Some results on E -inversive semigroups*, Quasigroups Relat. Systems **20** (2012), 53–60 .
- [5] **R.S. Gigoń**, *Congruences and group congruences on a semigroup*, Semigroup Forum (in print), doi:10.1007/s00233-012-9425-z.
- [6] **R.S. Gigoń**, *Comment on the paper of Janet E. Mills: "Certain congruences on orthodox semigroups"*, (to appear in Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica).
- [7] **R.S. Gigoń**, *On the lattice of congruences on idempotent-regular-surjective semigroups*, (to appear in Communications in Algebra).
- [8] **R.S. Gigoń**, *Regular congruences on an idempotent-regular-surjective semigroup*, Bull. Australian Math. Soc. (in print).
- [9] **G. Gomes**, *A characterization of the group congruences on a semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **46** (1993), 48–53.
- [10] **T.E. Hall**, *On regular semigroups*, J. Algebra **24** (1973), 1–24.
- [11] **J.M. Howie**, *An introduction to semigroup theory*, Academic Press, London (1976).
- [12] **J.M. Howie**, *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, Oxford University Press, New York (1995).
- [13] **J.M. Howie and G. Lallement**, *Certain fundamental congruences on a regular semigroup*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Soc. **7** (1966), 145–159.
- [14] **S.J.L. Kopamu**, *The concept of structural regularity*, Portugaliae Math. **53** (1996), 435–456.
- [15] **G. Lallement**, *Congruences et équivalences de Green sur un demi-groupe régulier*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **262A** (1966), 613–616.
- [16] **D.R. LaTorre**, *The least semilattice of groups congruence on a regular semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **27** (1983), 319–329.

- [17] **J.E. Mills**, *Certain congruences on orthodox semigroups*, Pac. J. Math. **64** (1976), 317–226.
- [18] **H. Mitsch and M. Petrich**, *Restricting idempotents in E-inversive semigroups*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **67** (2001), 555–570.
- [19] **M. Petrich**, *Inverse semigroups*, Wiley, New York (1984).
- [20] **T. Tamura**, *Note of the greatest semilattice decomposition of semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **4** (1972), 255–261.
- [21] **G. Thierrin**, *Demi-groupes inversés et rectangulaires*, Bull. Cl. Sci. Acad. Roy. Belgique **41** (1955), 83–92.

Received August 10, 2012
Revised December 20, 2012

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wrocław University of Technology,
Wyb. Wyspiańskiego 27, 50–370 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail: romekgigon@tlen.pl